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## OUR SERVICES THROUGH THE YEARS

Number of women utilized WAO's services in 2005:
Shelter/Refuge : 116 women
Face to face counseling : 115 women
Telephone counselling : 1215 callers

WAO figures since September 1982

| Year | Shelter/Refuge | Face to Face | Telephone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 57 | n/a | 200 |
| 1984 | 129 | n/a | 369 |
| 1985 | 53 | n/a | 592 |
| 1986 | 50 | n/a | 843 |
| 1987 | 61 | 30 | 822 |
| 1988 | 83 | 79 | 641 |
| 1989 | 90 | 114 | 1403 |
| 1990 | 75 | 109 | 1512 |
| 1991 | 74 | 80 | 1857 |
| 1992 | 62 | 74 | 2462 |
| 1993 | 91 | 84 | 1312 |
| 1994 | 97 | 91 | 1358 |
| 1995 | 88 | 57 | 1428 |
| 1996 | 98 | 52 | 1800 |
| 1997 | 109 | 52 | 1150 |
| 1998 | 101 | 50 | 1241 |
| 1999 | 120 | 52 | 1120 |
| 2000 | 105 | 44 | 981 |
| 2001 | 115 | 95 | 1063 |
| 2002 | 122 | 87 | 1478 |
| 2003 | 133 | 91 | 1492 |
| 2004 | 132 | 75 | 1500 |
| 2005 | 116 | 115 | 1215 |
| Total | 2161 | 1431 | 27848 |

## SHELTER/REFUGE

## A. GENERAL INFORMATION

There had been 122 entries through out this year, however since 6 of them had come back for the same reason in the same year, we highlight the demographics of 116 women who received our services.

In 2005,116 women were given shelter, while in 2004, 132 women were given shelter.

## 1. Reasons for seeking shelter

$50 \%$ of the women who sought shelter came because of domestic violence. WAO also offered shelter to women who faced other problems and are in need of a temporary place to stay.

| Reason for seeking shelter | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic violence | 58 | 50.0 |
| Trafficked | 16 | 13.8 |
| MDW abuse | 11 | 9.5 |
| Single mother pregnancy | 8 | 6.9 |
| No place to stay | 6 | 5.2 |
| Rape | 3 | 2.6 |
| Problems with employer | 3 | 2.6 |
| Refugees | 2 | 1.7 |
| Others | 9 | 7.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 2. Number of children

In 2005, there were 55 children at the refuge compared to 94 in 2004. Some of the women left behind the children to be taken care of by other family members.

| Children at WAO | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| None | 85 | 73.3 |
| 1 child | 17 | 14.7 |
| 2 children | 6 | 5.2 |
| 3 children | 6 | 5.2 |
| 4 children | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 13. Number of visits

Majority of the residents in 2005 were new clients ( $88 \%$ ) while 9 women were residents from the previous years. Three of them sought shelter for the third and fourth time, while the other two sought shelter for the fifth time.

| Number of visits to WAO | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| First | 102 | 87.9 |
| Second | 9 | 7.8 |
| Third | 2 | 1.7 |


| Fourth | 1 | 0.9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fifth | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 4. Sources of awareness

As in the previous years, the major sources of awareness were from the police, media (internet, magazine, newspaper, television, radio), other NGOs (Tenaganita, AWAM, MCA etc). Embassies were also among the other major source of awareness, such as the Cambodian and German Embassies and the Indian High Commission.

| Source of awareness | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Police | 18 | 15.5 |
| Media | 17 | 14.7 |
| NGOs | 15 | 12.9 |
| Friends | 15 | 12.9 |
| Self (ex-residents) | 13 | 11.2 |
| Embassy | 9 | 7.8 |
| Relatives/Family | 9 | 7.8 |
| Hospital/One Stop Crisis Center | 6 | 5.2 |
| Welfare department | 7 | 6.0 |
| Ex residents | 3 | 2.6 |
| Other | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

* Kementerian Pembangunan Wanita, Keluarga \& Masyarakat, UNHCR


## 5. Length of stay

$24 \%$ of the residents stayed at the shelter between one to two months, twenty percent stayed more than three months while eighteen percent stayed less than a week.

| Length of stay | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than a week | 21 | 18.1 |
| 1 to 2 weeks | 17 | 14.7 |
| 2 to 3 weeks | 11 | 9.5 |
| 1 to 2 months | 28 | 24.1 |
| 2 to 3 months | 16 | 13.8 |
| More than 3 months | 23 | 19.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 6. Residence

Most of the residents came from Selangor (47\%) and Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur (26\%)

| State | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur | 30 | 25.8 |
| Selangor | 55 | 47.7 |
| Johor | 14 | 12.1 |
| Perak | 6 | 5.2 |


| Negeri Sembilan | 5 | 4.3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pahang | 2 | 1.7 |
| Pulau Pinang | 2 | 1.7 |
| Kelantan | 1 | 0.9 |
| Kedah | 1 | 0.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 7. Age

The residents were mostly in their $20 \mathrm{~s}(40 \%)$ and 30 s ( $25 \%$ )

| Age | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 20 years old | 25 | 21.6 |
| 20 to 29 | 46 | 39.7 |
| 30 to 39 | 29 | 25.0 |
| 40 to 49 | 14 | 12.1 |
| 50 years old and above | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 8. Nationality

$65 \%$ of the residents were Malaysian.

| Nationality | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malaysia | 75 | 64.7 |
| Indonesia | 21 | 18.1 |
| India | 4 | 3.4 |
| China | 2 | 1.7 |
| Cambodia | 8 | 6.9 |
| Singapore | 1 | 0.9 |
| Vietnam | 1 | 0.9 |
| Myanmar | 2 | 1.7 |
| Russia | 1 | 0.9 |
| German | 1 | 0.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

The ethnicity of the 75 Malaysian was mostly;

| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malay | 17 | 22.7 |
| Chinese | 10 | 13.3 |
| Indian | 47 | 62.7 |
| Kadazan | 1 | 1.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 9. Marital status

$41 \%$ of the women had registered marriages and $5 \%$ were married through customary rites. $45 \%$ were single.

| Marital status | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 52 | 44.8 |
| Legally married | 48 | 41.4 |
| Customary marriage | 6 | 5.2 |
| Live-in partners | 3 | 2.6 |
| Divorced | 3 | 2.6 |
| Separated | 4 | 3.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 10. Level of education

$26 \%$ of the residents had primary education, $19 \%$ had reached SPM level and $6 \%$ had a first degree.

| Level of education | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 16 | 13.8 | 15.1 |
| Primary | 21 | 18.1 | 19.8 |
| SRP | 28 | 24.1 | 26.4 |
| SPM | 20 | 17.2 | 18.9 |
| Diploma/Form 6 | 15 | 12.9 | 14.2 |
| Degree | 6 | 5.2 | 5.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 8.6 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 11. Occupation

$46 \%$ of the residents were not formally employed, some of them being homemakers and others being unable to find a job.
The 'other' were mostly employed as migrant domestic worker ( $13 \%$ ), cleaner/general worker ( $9 \%$ ) and in the service sector ( $6 \%$ ) working as a waitress, beautician and hair stylist.

| Occupation | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed | 46 | 39.7 |
| Homemaker | 8 | 6.9 |
| Factory/production worker | 1 | 0.9 |
| Professional | 6 | 5.2 |
| Technical worker | 1 | 0.9 |
| Self-employed/Business | 2 | 1.7 |
| Administrator/Manager | 2 | 1.7 |
| Student | 3 | 2.6 |
| Clerk | 3 | 2.6 |
| Sales | 5 | 4.3 |
| Cleaner/General worker | 10 | 8.6 |
| Service sector | 7 | 6.0 |


| Migrant domestic worker | 15 | 12.9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Other | 7 | 6.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 .}$ |

12. Income
$61 \%$ of the residents did not have any income, $29 \%$ had an income RM 500 and below, and $12 \%$ earned between RM 500 - RM 999

| Income | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 54 | 46.6 | 60.5 |
| < RM 500 | 32 | 27.6 | 28.6 |
| RM 500 -999 | 13 | 11.2 | 11.6 |
| RM 1000-1499 | 5 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| RM 1500-1999 | 3 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| RM 2000-2499 | 4 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| PRM 2500 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | 96.6 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 4 | 3.4 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## INFORMATION ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

a) INFORMATION ON THE ABUSE

Out of 116 women, 58 were victims-survivors of domestic violence.

## 1. Types of abuse (multiple answers)

The most frequent type of abuse is psychological ( $91 \%$ ), and financial ( $85 \%$ ). $72 \%$ were socially abused, $60 \%$ were physical and $43 \%$ were sexually abused.

| Type of abuse | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Physical | 35 | 60.3 |
| Psychological | 53 | 91.4 |
| Sexual | 25 | 43.1 |
| Financial | 49 | 84.5 |
| Social | 42 | 72.4 |

## 2.Types of physical abuse (multiple answers)

Beating with hands and kicking is one form of physical abuse that occurs in most cases ( $60 \%$ ). They were beaten with objects ( $38 \%$ ) or had objects thrown at her ( $26 \%$ ).

| Description | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beating with hands and kicking | 35 | 60.3 |
| Beating with objects | 22 | 37.9 |
| Throwing with objects | 15 | 25.9 |
| Hitting against a wall/thrown on the <br> floor | 14 | 24.1 |
| Assault with knife | 14 | 24.1 |
| Scalding/burning | 5 | 8.6 |
| Other | 10 | 17.2 |

## 3. Length of time in abusive situation

$48 \%$ of domestic violence survivors faced the abuse right in the first year of their marriage.

| First violent incident | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before marriage | 7 | 12.1 | 15.9 |
| In the first year | 20 | 34.5 | 45.5 |
| In the second year | 5 | 8.6 | 11.4 |
| In the third year | 2 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| In the fourth year | 2 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| In the fifth year | 2 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| After the fifth year | 6 | 10.3 | 13.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not applicable | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 24.1 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Most of the women had been in an abusive situation between I to 5 years ( $39 \%$ ). Twenty percent had been in the abusive situation between 6 to 10 years while $24 \%$ had been abused less than one year.

| Length of time | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than I year | 13 | 22.4 | 24.1 |
| 1 to 5 years | 21 | 36.2 | 38.9 |
| 6 to 10 years | 11 | 19.0 | 20.4 |
| 11 to 15 years | 1 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| 16 to 20 years | 6 | 10.3 | 11.1 |
| More than 20 years | 2 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 4 | 6.9 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 4. Frequency of the abuse

| Frequency | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily | 16 | 27.6 | 29.1 |
| 4 to 5 times a week | 11 | 19.0 | 20.0 |
| 2 to 3 times a week | 5 | 8.6 | 9.1 |
| Once week | 4 | 6.9 | 7.3 |
| Once a fortnight | 8 | 13.8 | 14.5 |
| Once a month | 4 | 6.9 | 7.3 |
| Only once/twice | 3 | 5.2 | 5.5 |
| Unpredictable | 4 | 6.9 | 7.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 94.8 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 3 | 5.2 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 5. The number of times women have left the abusive situation

39 women had made at least one previous attempt to leave the abusive situation. Out of these 39 women, $36 \%$ had tried only once before while $13 \%$ had tried to leave 5 times or more.

| How many times tried to leave | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Once | 14 | 24.1 | 35.9 |
| Twice | 10 | 17.2 | 25.6 |
| Three times | 6 | 10.3 | 15.4 |
| Four times | 4 | 6.9 | 10.3 |
| Five times or more | 5 | 8.6 | 12.8 |
| Total | 39 | $\mathbf{6 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Never left before | 14 | 24.1 |  |
| Not available | 5 | 8.6 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## b) INFORMATION ON THE PERPETRATORS

The following information on the perpetrators reinforces the fact that abusers come from all classes, races and professions.

## 1. Relationship to the residents

| Relationship | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Husband/ex-husband | 34 | 58.6 |
| Parents | 4 | 6.9 |
| Boyfriend/ex-boyfriend | 4 | 6.9 |
| Live-in partners | 13 | 22.4 |
| Relatives | 3 | 5.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

2. Age

The perpetrators were mostly in their 30 s ( $44 \%$ )

| Age | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 to 29 | 6 | 10.3 | 13.9 |
| 30 to 39 | 19 | 32.8 | 44.2 |
| 40 to 49 | 10 | 17.2 | 23.3 |
| 50 years old above | 5 | 8.6 | 11.6 |
| Total | 43 | 74.1 | 100.0 |
| Not available | 15 | 25.9 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 3. Nationality

$93 \%$ of the perpetrators were Malaysians. The ethnic groups are: Indian (45\%), Malay (33\%) and Chinese (22\%).

| Nationality | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malaysia | 54 | 93.1 |
| Indonesia | 2 | 3.4 |
| India | 2 | 3.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malay | 19 | 32.8 |
| Indian | 26 | 44.8 |
| Chinese | 13 | 22.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 4. Level of education

$23 \%$ of the perpetrators have reached their SRP level, while $17 \%$ had reached their SPM level. $19 \%$ hold university degrees.

| Level of education | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 15 | 25.9 | 31.9 |
| SRP | 11 | 19.0 | 23.4 |
| SPM | 8 | 13.8 | 17.0 |
| Diploma/Form 6 | 4 | 6.9 | 8.5 |
| Degree | 9 | 15.5 | 19.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 11 | 19.0 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 5. Occupation

$21 \%$ of the perpetrators were unemployed. Most of the perpetrators were either general workers ( $19 \%$ ) or self employed/business ( $19 \%$ )

| Occupation | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed | 10 | 17.2 | 20.8 |
| General worker/cleaner | 9 | 15.5 | 18.8 |
| Professional | 4 | 6.9 | 8.3 |
| Technical worker | 5 | 8.6 | 10.4 |
| Self-employed/Business | 9 | 15.5 | 18.8 |
| Sales | 7 | 12.1 | 14.6 |
| Service sectors | 4 | 6.9 | 8.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 10 | 17.2 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 6. Income

$23 \%$ of the perpetrators do not have any income, $20 \%$ earned RM 500 and below, while $14 \%$ earned between RM 1500 - RM 1999

| Income | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 10 | 17.2 | 23.3 |
| RM 500 and below | 9 | 15.5 | 20.9 |
| RM 501 - RM 999 | 5 | 8.6 | 11.6 |
| RM 1000- RM 1499 | 5 | 8.6 | 11.6 |
| RM 1500 - RM 1999 | 6 | 10.3 | 13.9 |
| RM 2000 - RM 2499 | 4 | 6.9 | 9.3 |


| RM2500 and above | 4 | 6.9 | 9.3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 74.1 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 15 | 25.9 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 7. Triggering factors (multiple answers)

Financial problems and jealousy ( $40 \%$ ) on the part of the perpetrators were among the major triggering factors. Other factors were work related stress ( $31 \%$ ), alcohol ( $29 \%$ ). However, $33 \%$ of the beatings occurred for no reason at all.

| Triggering factor | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No reason | 19 | 32.8 |
| Jealous | 23 | 39.7 |
| Suspicion | 8 | 13.8 |
| Financial problem | 23 | 39.7 |
| Alcohol | 17 | 29.3 |
| Drug | 5 | 8.6 |
| Gambling | 4 | 6.9 |
| Extra marital affair (s) | 8 | 13.8 |
| Work related stress | 18 | 31.0 |
| Child related problems | 11 | 19.0 |
| In-laws interference | 13 | 22.4 |
| Sexual | 5 | 8.6 |
| Other | 11 | 19.0 |

## 8. Criminal record

$12 \%$ of the perpetrators had a criminal record for a crime other than domestic violence

| Past conviction | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 7 | 12.1 |
| No | 51 | 87.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 9. Domestic Violence Act: Interim Protection Order (IPO)

Out of 58 women, only 12 of them applied to get an IPO

| Got an IPO | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 11 | 91.7 |
| No | 1 | 8.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

[^0]
## c) OUTCOMES

## I. Status of the women at the end of 2005

$33 \%$ of the women went to stay with their friend/relative, $26 \%$ went back to live with the abusive partners and $19 \%$ were living independently.

| Status | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Still at WAO | 6 | 10.3 |
| Went back to abusive situation | 15 | 25.9 |
| Living independently | 11 | 19.0 |
| Returned to own home | 2 | 3.4 |
| Went to stay with relative/friend | 19 | 32.8 |
| Unknown | 5 | 8.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

2. Reasons cited for going back to abusive situation (multiple answer)
$87 \%$ of the 15 women who went back to the abusive situation left the shelter because they wanted to give their husbands another chance. The rest went back because of financial reasons or had concerns for their children's schooling.

| Reason | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Give husband another chance | 13 | 86.7 |
| Children's schooling | 8 | 53.3 |
| Financial reason | 10 | 66.7 |
| Husband agreed to change lifestyle | 4 | 26.7 |
| Under threat from husband | 2 | 13.3 |
| Illness in family | 5 | 13.3 |
| Other | 6 | 40. |

## 3. Type of accommodation

11 women choose to stay independently, while most of them stayed with family or relatives.

| Accommodation | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Renting room/house, own home | 3 | 27.3 |
| With family/relative | 5 | 45.5 |
| With friend | 3 | 27.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 4. Occupation

Most of the ex-residents are now working as a cleaner or a general worker, while $22 \%$ work in factories.

| Occupation | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factory/production worker | 8 | 13.8 | 22.2 |
| General worker/cleaner | 10 | 17.2 | 27.8 |
| Self-employed/business | 2 | 3.5 | 5.5 |
| Administrator/manager | 4 | 6.9 | 11.1 |


| Clerical worker | 3 | 5.2 | 8.3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | 4 | 6.9 | 11.1 |
| Service sector | 5 | 8.6 | 13.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 12 | 20.7 |  |
| Not working | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 17.2 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## MIGRANT DOMESTIC WORKER ABUSE.

Out of 116 women, 11 were migrant domestic workers who were abused. All of them were from Indonesia.
The police stations, public and other NGOs (e.g. Tenaganita) referred most of the cases to WAO.
One of them sought shelter at WAO before, while the other ten were new cases.
Two of them stayed less than one week, one between 1 to 2 months and the rest stayed for more than 3 months

## 1.Types of abuse (multiple answers)

Psychological and financial abuse was the most frequent type of abuses. Physical abuse included beating with hands and kicking with feet and beating with other objects (e.g.: hanger, rice cooker etc)

| Type | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Physical | 4 | 36.4 |
| Psychological | 10 | 90.9 |
| Sexual | 2 | 18.2 |
| Financial | 6 | 54.5 |
| Social | 10 | 90.9 |


| Physical violence | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beating with hands and kicking | 10 | 90.9 |
| Beating with objects | 3 | 9.1 |

## 2. Frequency of the abuse

The abuse occurred daily in three cases, for another four cases, abused occurred between 2 to 5 times a week. In one case, she was abused once a week and for the rest three, the abuse was unpredictable.

| Frequency | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Daily | 3 | 27.3 |
| 4 to 5 times | 2 | 18.2 |
| 2 to 3 times | 2 | 18.2 |
| Once a week | 1 | 9.1 |
| Once fortnight | 0 | 0.0 |


| Once a month | 0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Only once/twice | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unpredictable | 3 | 27.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## b) INFORMATION ON THE PERPETRATORS

9 of the perpetrators were their employers and two were their agents. Out of 9 employers, one case involved the male employer and the rest involved both the male and female employers. The ages were;

| Age | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 to 29 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30 to 39 | 5 | 45.5 | 62.5 |
| 40 to 49 | 2 | 18.2 | 25.0 |
| 50 years old above | 1 | 9.1 | 12.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8}$ | 72.7 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 3 | 27.3 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

2. Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chinese | 8 | 72.7 |
| Indian | 2 | 18.2 |
| Eurasian | 1 | 9.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 3. Occupation

| Occupation | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-employed/business | 3 | 27.2 | 33.3 |
| Professional | 1 | 9.1 | 11.1 |
| Technical worker | 1 | 9.1 | 11.1 |
| Service sectors | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sales | 4 | 36.4 | 44.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 2 | 18.2 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## c) OUTCOMES

1. Status of the migrant domestic worker at the end of 2005

Most of the women went back to Indonesia (55\%), one went to the Indonesian Embassy and 4 were still at WAO, waiting for an outcome of their case.

| Status at the end of $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Still at WAO | 4 | 36.4 |
| Left the country to country of origin | 6 | 54.5 |
| Went to embassy | 1 | 9.1 |
| Unknown* | 1 | 9.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

*She left the centre without informing.
2. Status of the case of migrant domestic workers who are still at WAO

| Status of the cases at the end of 2005 | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pending court case hearing | 1 | 25.0 |
| Pending labor case hearing | 1 | 25.0 |
| Waiting for a decision from embassy | 2 | 50.0 |
| Pending salary negotiation | 1 | 25.0 |

## FACE TO FACE COUNSELLING

## A. GENERAL INFORMATION

In 2004, 91 women sought face to face counseling from WAO. This number increased to 115 women in 2005.

## 1. Problems faced

$52 \%$ of the women who came for face to face counseling were having domestic violence problems. $44 \%$ sought counseling to problems; legal issues pertaining to divorce, child custody, matrimonial property, EPF, sexual harassment etc

| Reason | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic violence | 60 | 52.2 |
| Other than violence (legal issues, divorce <br> etc) | 51 | 44.3 |
| Abuse/assault* | 2 | 1.7 |
| Rape | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

* Not within the family

2. Other problems faced (multiple answers)

| Other problems | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Divorce | 28 | 24.3 |
| Relationship problem with <br> husband/boyfriend | 32 | 27.8 |
| Children custody/maintenance | 23 | 20.0 |
| Husband unemployed/irresponsible | 8 | 7.0 |
| Another woman/other women | 4 | 3.5 |
| Rape/sexually assaulted | 4 | 3.5 |
| Depression/mental stress | 5 | 4.3 |
| Husband on drugs/alcohol/gambling | 13 | 11.3 |
| Police report/IPO | 8 | 7.0 |
| Sexual harassment/Harassment | 1 | 0.9 |
| Child abuse/incest | 1 | 0.9 |
| Single mother pregnancy/abortion/adoption | 5 | 4.3 |
| Financia//housing problem | 7 | 6.1 |
| Legal issues/immigration | 2 | 1.7 |
| Problems with family/in-laws | 16 | 13.9 |
| Other | 11 | 9.6 |

Women also faced other problems other than violence. The problems mostly related to relationship problems with husband or boyfriend ( $28 \%$ ), divorce ( $24 \%$ ), child custody and maintenance ( $20 \%$ ) and problems with family members or in- laws ( $14 \%$ ).

## 3. Needs of the clients (multiple answers)

The women coming for face to face counseling came mainly because they needed advice ( $97 \%$ ). Some of them came because they wanted to get advise specifically on legal matters $(23 \%)$ and also because they were in a crisis situation and needed a place to stay (13\%).

| Needs | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Counselling | 111 | 96.5 |
| Legal information | 26 | 22.6 |
| Other information | 5 | 4.3 |
| Shelter | 15 | 13.0 |
| Help in getting IPO | 8 | 2.6 |
| Financial assistance | 3 | 2.6 |
| Accompany to court \& welfare | 3 | 2.6 |

## 4. Ex-residents

From 115 women who came for face to face counseling, $21 \%$ of them were WAO ex residents from the same or previous years, while $79 \%$ were new clients.

| Ex-resident | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 24 | 20.9 |
| No | 91 | 79.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 5. Age

The clients were mostly in their $30 \mathrm{~s}(31 \%)$ and $20 \mathrm{~s}(26 \%)$.

| Age | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 20 years and below | 10 | 8.7 |
| 20 to 29 | 30 | 26.1 |
| 30 to 39 | 36 | 31.3 |
| 40 to 49 | 25 | 21.7 |
| 50 years old and above | 14 | 12.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## 6. Occupation

$37 \%$ of the clients were not working; they either depended on the husband or partner or family members. $11 \%$ of the women were professionals; doctor, IT executive, accountant and secretary.

| Occupation | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed | 42 | 36.5 | 39.6 |
| Factory | 2 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| General worker/cleaner | 11 | 9.6 | 10.4 |
| Professional | 13 | 11.3 | 12.3 |
| Technical worker | 2 | 1. | 1.9 |
| Self-employed/business | 6 | 5.2 | 5.7 |
| Administrator/manager | 2 | 1.7 | 1.9 |


| Student | 8 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clerical job | 5 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| Sales | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Service sector | 8 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| Other | 6 | 5.2 | 5.7 |
| Total | 106 | 92.2 | 100.0 |
| Not available | 9 | 7.8 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

7. Residence

Most of the clients came from Selangor (62\%) and Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur (27\%).

| State | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala <br> Lumpur | 29 | 25.2 | 26.9 |
| Selangor | 67 | 58.3 | 62.0 |
| Johor | 3 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Negeri Sembilan | 2 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Kedah | 4 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Pulau Pinang | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Perak | 2 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Total | 108 | 93.9 | 100.0 |
| Not available | 7 | 6.1 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 8. Nationality

$97 \%$ of the clients were Malaysian.

| Nationality | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malaysia | 112 | 97.4 |
| Finland | 1 | 0.9 |
| India | 1 | 0.9 |
| Australia | 1 | 0.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Among the Malaysian clients, they were mostly Indian (51\%), followed by Chinese $28 \%$ and Malay $18 \%$.

## 9. Awareness

Most of the clients knew about WAO from their friends or family members or they were WAO's ex clients ( $70 \%$ ). They also knew us from the internet and media such as radio, television, magazine, newspaper (17\%). Other NGO's were such as MCA, AWAM and Persatuan Orang Pekak Malaysia.

| Awareness | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One stop crisis centre/hospitals | 2 | 1.7 |
| NGO | 9 | 7.8 |
| Media/Internet | 19 | 16.5 |
| Ex-client/Friends/Family | 81 | 70.4 |
| Lawyer/Legal Aid Centre | 1 | 0.9 |
| Religious institution | 2 | 1.7 |
| Embassy | 1 | 0.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

## TELEPHONE COUNSELLING

## A. GENERAL INFORMATION

- Number of calls in 2005: 1215
- Number of calls in 2004 : 1500
- Number of calls in 2003 : 1492

1. Reasons for the call
$52 \%$ of the calls received were related to domestic violence.

| Reason for the call | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic violence | 609 | 50.1 | 52.2 |
| Other problems | 546 | 44.9 | 46.8 |
| MDW abuse | 8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Child abuse | 3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 49 | 4.1 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

$47 \%$ of the calls were not related to violence, but mainly relationship problem (30\%), divorce ( $21 \%$ ), child custody/maintenance ( $18 \%$ ) and about extra marital affairs ( $12 \%$ ).

| Other problems (multiple answers) | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Divorce | 253 | 20.8 |
| Relationship problems | 266 | 21.9 |
| Child custody/maintenance | 221 | 18.2 |
| Extra marital affair(s) | 151 | 12.4 |
| Husband unemployed/irresponsible | 71 | 5.8 |
| Husband on drugs/alcohol/gambling | 65 | 5.3 |
| Legal issues/immigration | 41 | 3.4 |
| Problem with family/in laws | 42 | 3.5 |
| Problem with children | 42 | 3.5 |
| Police report/IPO | 38 | 3.1 |
| Sexual harassment | 31 | 2.6 |
| Single mother <br> pregnancy/abortion/adoption | 32 | 2.6 |
| Financial problems/housing | 30 | 2.5 |
| Depression/mental stress | 7 | 1.8 |
| Husband jealous/suspicious | 19 | 1.6 |
| Single mother | 18 | 1.5 |
| Child abuse/incest | 18 | 1.5 |
| Rape/sexual assault | 14 | 1.2 |
| Migrant domestic worker | 9 | 0.7 |
| Work related problems | 7 | 0.6 |
| Husband mentally unstable/stress | 22 | 0.6 |
| Other problems | 103 | 8.5 |

2. Who are the callers?
$86 \%$ of the callers were the clients themselves, where $14 \%$ were calling on behalf of a friend, family, neighbor or co-worker.

| Who called | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client | 1037 | 85.3 | 85.6 |
| Other than the client | 174 | 14.3 | 14.4 |
| Total | 1211 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| Not available | 4 | 0.3 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 3. Residence

Most of the clients were calling from Selangor (47\%) and Kuala Lumpur (33\%).

| Residence | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kuala Lumpur | 304 | 25.0 | 33.4 |
| Selangor | 431 | 35.5 | 47.4 |
| Johor | 30 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| Perak | 48 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| Negeri sembilan | 26 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| Kelantan | 11 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Pahang | 21 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Kedah | 9 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Malacca | 18 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Terengganu | 3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Pulau Pinang | 5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Sabah | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Sarawak | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | 25.0 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 4. Nationality

$98 \%$ of the clients were Malaysian.

| Nationality | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malaysia | 1172 | 96.5 | 97.8 |
| Indonesia | 14 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Thailand | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Filipina | 3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| China | 6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| India | 2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 17 | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |


| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malay | 315 | 25.9 | 26.9 |
| Chinese | 375 | 30.9 | 32.1 |
| Indian | 436 | 35.9 | 37.3 |
| Other | 43 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 46 | 3.8 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 5. Age

Most of the clients were in their 30 s ( $43 \%$ ), while $28 \%$ were in theirs 20 s and $21 \%$ were in their 40s.

| Age | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 20 years old | 31 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| 20 to 29 | 264 | 21.7 | 28.2 |
| 30 to 39 | 401 | 33.0 | 42.8 |
| 40 to 49 | 192 | 15.8 | 20.5 |
| 50 years old and above | 48 | 4.0 | 5.1 |
| Total | 936 | 77.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 279 | 22.9 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 6. Occupation

Most of the client did not earn an income; being either unemployed (13\%) or homemakers ( $28 \%$ ).
There were some professionals ( $14 \%$ ), clerical workers ( $14 \%$ ), working in a factory (6\%) and self employed/ own business (6\%).

| Occupation | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unemployed | 105 | 8.6 | 12.8 |
| Homemaker | 227 | 18.7 | 27.7 |
| Factory/production worker | 47 | 3.9 | 5.7 |
| Domestic helper | 13 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| General worker/cleaner | 28 | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| Professional | 114 | 9.4 | 13.9 |
| Technical worker | 2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Self-employed/business | 47 | 3.9 | 5.7 |
| Administrator/manager | 13 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Student | 25 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| Clerical worker | 114 | 9.4 | 13.9 |
| Sales | 45 | 3.7 | 5.5 |


| Services sector | 41 | 3.4 | 5.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | 394 | 32.4 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## 7. Need

$51 \%$ of the callers requested for the counseling, $24 \%$ requested legal information while $12 \%$ requested for shelter.

| Need | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Counseling | 624 | 51.4 |
| Legal information | 285 | 23.5 |
| Shelter | 141 | 11.6 |
| Information regarding IPO | 121 | 10.0 |
| General information | 105 | 8.6 |
| Counseling for husband | 36 | 3.0 |
| Financial assistance | 21 | 1.7 |
| Other | 16 | 1.3 |

## 8. Awareness

$43 \%$ of the clients knew about WAO through the media (newspaper, magazine, radio, television) and internet (WAO's website). They also knew us from their friends or family members ( $34 \%$ ).

| Awareness | Number | Percentage | Valid <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Police | 11 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| Welfare Department | 3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Hospitals/One Stop Crisis Center | 20 | 1.6 | 2.8 |
| Legal body (LAC, LAB etc) | 9 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| NGO/MCA | 37 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| Media/Internet | 310 | 25.5 | 43.1 |
| Ex client | 23 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| Friends/Family | 241 | 19.8 | 33.5 |
| Operator | 25 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Religious institution | 16 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| Other | 24 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Not available | $\mathbf{4 9 6}$ | 40.8 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## E-MAIL ENQUIRIES

We received about 168 e-mails last year. The queries pertained to:

| Issues | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Domestic Violence | 53 |
| Single mother-pregnant | 4 |
| Sexual Harassment | 4 |
| Child Sexual Abuse | 3 |
| Legal Rights | 26 |
| Rape | 3 |
| Information(for students, researchers, media) | 75 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ |

All of the e-mails were replied and urgent matters like domestic violence, rape and child sexual abuse was followed through with phone calls and if needed a face to face counseling. There were some who e-mailed back for further clarification and thanking us for helping them. E-mail communication has become more popular and the social workers diligently answer and reply as soon as it comes. It is also quite popular with the younger group and those who stay outside the Klang Valley.

Many college students, researchers, media personnel, wrote to us to enquire about Violence against Women issues such as domestic violence, rape and sexual harassment and needed the information for their term paper or a project. There were a few concerned public who wanted information to refer a person in dire straits.


[^0]:    One resident got the IPO by herself before she come to WAO
    Compared to last year, the number of women getting IPO increased from 9 to I1.
    Only 1 had tried to apply for an IPO but was rejected as the perpetrator was a former boyfriend.

